Tree Physiology 33, 775–778 doi:10.1093/treephys/tpt069

### Commentary

# P

## Will rising CO<sub>2</sub> and temperatures exacerbate the vulnerability of trees to drought?

#### Danielle A. Way<sup>1,2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biology, Western University, London, ON, Canada; <sup>2</sup>Nicholas School of the Environment, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA; <sup>3</sup>Corresponding author (dway4@uwo.ca)

Received July 15, 2013; accepted July 31, 2013; handling Editor Ram Oren

Large-scale tree dieback events are increasing in frequency around the world, with many of them attributed to the effects of climate change-related droughts (i.e., droughts that cooccur with heat stress) (Adams et al. 2010, Allen et al. 2010). As mean global temperatures are expected to rise by 2-4 °C in the next 85 years (Christensen et al. 2007) and elevated temperatures are correlated with higher vapor pressure deficits and evaporative driving forces (Oishi et al. 2010), we may expect a rise in tree mortality due to drought in a warmer future. However, climate warming is mainly driven by rising atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, a factor that can increase plant drought tolerance (Drake et al. 1997). The degree to which these two global change factors will alter the vulnerability of trees to drought is unclear, and combinatorial experiments studying the effects of both warming and elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on tree drought tolerance are rare (but see Wertin et al. 2010, 2012, Zeppel et al. 2012, Lewis et al. 2013).

Research on what causes tree mortality during climatechange drought events tends to focus on two hypotheses: direct hydraulic failure or carbon starvation (McDowell et al. 2008). The first hypothesis acknowledges that if stomata remain open during drought to maintain carbon fixation for metabolism, then the associated water losses from transpiration will eventually cause catastrophic cavitation (Anderegg et al. 2012). The second hypothesis focuses on the role of stomata in maintaining the integrity of the hydraulic pathway of trees. As stomata close during drought, respiration continues to burn carbohydrates without new photosynthetic carbon fixation and the plant's carbohydrate stores are depleted until they cannot maintain metabolic needs (Adams et al. 2009). The role of carbon starvation in limiting tree survival and responses to climate is clearest during glacial periods with low  $CO_2$  concentrations (~200 ppm) (Gerhart et al. 2012), but low stomatal conductance during drought may generate analogously low intercellular  $CO_2$  concentrations under future high  $CO_2$  conditions. Lastly, the interdependence of water transport and carbohydrate status in trees has also received increasing recognition (McDowell et al. 2011, Sala et al. 2012): phloem transport of sugars to sink tissues requires adequate water transport, and there is recent evidence that embolism repair may depend on carbohydrate availability (Secchi and Zwieniecki 2011).

In this issue of Tree Physiology, Duan et al. (2013) add to our growing knowledge of how climate change may alter this second aspect of drought tolerance, plant carbon dynamics. They looked at the combined impact of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and warming on leaf-level carbon fluxes, growth and nonstructural carbohydrate (NSC) status in droughted Eucalyptus seedlings. While elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increased plant carbon status and growth, and high temperatures reduced leaf carbon balance during moderate drought, these treatment effects were not evident as the drought became severe. When high  $CO_2$  and growth temperatures were applied concurrently, they increased growth during the early, moderate stage of the drought, but this response also disappeared as water stress progressed. The data also indicate the difficulty in predicting whole-tree NSC status or growth from leaf-level carbon fluxes. In Eucalyptus experiencing a sustained drought, elevated temperatures suppressed photosynthesis and stimulated respiration, which might be expected to reduce growth and NSC content. But instead,

seedling mass and NSC tended to be higher in warm-grown plants than in ambient-climate seedlings.

The effects of climate change factors on the other side of tree drought tolerance, hydraulic vulnerability, also deserve more study. High CO<sub>2</sub> reduces leaf-level stomatal conductance, which is the basis for predicting that plants will use less water and be less sensitive to drought in the future (Ainsworth and Rogers 2007). While overall allocation between roots and shoots is unaffected by elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (Poorter et al. 2012), some trees and younger stands that develop at elevated CO<sub>2</sub> have larger canopy areas, offsetting some of the leaf-level water savings at the whole tree and forest plot levels (Bobich et al. 2010, Warren et al 2011a, Medeiros and Ward 2013). CO<sub>2</sub> concentration can also alter xylem anatomy, with an overall tendency for larger conduit sizes at high CO<sub>2</sub> in ring-porous species and some conifers, but little difference in xylem vessel diameter in diffuse-porous tree species (e.g., Conroy et al. 1988, Atkinson and Taylor 1996, Saxe et al. 1998, Ceulemans et al. 2002, Kaakinen et al. 2004, Watanabe et al. 2008, Domec et al. 2010, Phillips et al. 2011). Where elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increases the conduit size, this translates into lower stem cavitation resistance (Domec et al. 2010) that may make trees more vulnerable to moderate drought stresses. As well, lower stomatal conductance at high CO<sub>2</sub> reduces transpirational cooling of leaves and raises leaf temperatures (Bernacchi et al. 2007), which can actually increase the vulnerability of trees to drought during hot, dry spells (Bobich et al. 2010, Warren et al. 2011b).

With respect to warming, higher growth temperatures tend to increase the canopy leaf area and generally lead to smaller root-to-shoot ratios in trees, both of which may make future trees less capable of withstanding drought (Way and Oren 2010, Poorter et al. 2012). Growth at high temperatures can alter xylem anatomy, hydraulic conductivity and cavitation vulnerability (Maherali and DeLucia 2000*a*, 2000*b*, Thomas et al. 2004, Phillips et al. 2011) and these anatomical and physiological changes can make warm-grown trees more susceptible to drought (Way et al. 2013). Higher growth temperatures also influence carbon dynamics parameters that may influence the ability to survive a drought. Warming tends to stimulate respiration rates and may accelerate the depletion of carbon stores (Adams et al. 2009), although acclimation can offset this significantly in tree species (Way and Oren 2010). While photosynthetic acclimation to elevated temperatures can maintain carbon gain in woody species, not all species show significant thermal acclimation of photosynthesis, especially if the warming is substantial (Way and Oren 2010, Way and Yamori in press).

Duan et al. (2013) add to the growing literature on how tree carbon dynamics under drought respond to combined high CO<sub>2</sub> and elevated temperatures, a topic where we need more data to make strong predictions about future forest behavior. There are, however, only two studies to my knowledge that examine any aspect of how tree hydraulic characteristics respond to these two combined growth conditions (Maherali and DeLucia 2000b, Phillips et al. 2011). So, how do we move forward with the data we have? While we cannot rely heavily on the results of single-factor studies in predicting how future vegetation will be affected by drought, we can look for commonalities in the responses of tree hydraulic and carbon balance traits to either global change factor alone (Table 1). This analysis suggests that hydraulic traits (such as the water potential at which 50% of hydraulic conductivity is lost, or the P50) may predispose trees to being more vulnerable to drought under future conditions, while carbon dynamic parameters may be more resilient to combined changes in temperature and CO<sub>2</sub>. In summary, we clearly need more information on how trees will respond to drought when they develop under

Table 1. Physiological and anatomical traits in trees that may affect drought tolerance, their generalized responses to either elevated growth temperatures or elevated  $CO_2$  concentrations and postulated responses to combined elevated temperatures and growth  $CO_2$  where responses to the single factors overlap.

| Trait   | Elevated growth temperatures                     | Elevated CO <sub>2</sub> concentration | Both elevated $\rm CO_2$ and temperature |
|---|--|--|--|
| Leaf-level net CO <sub>2</sub> assimilation rates | Lower to higher (dependent on degree of warming) | Higher                                 | Probably higher                          |
| Leaf-level respiration rates                      | Same to higher                                   | Same                                   | Same to higher                           |
| Leaf-level $g_s$                                  | Lower (if VPD increases)                         | Lower                                  | Lower                                    |
| Canopy level g <sub>s</sub>                       | Lower to same (if VPD increases)                 | Lower to same                          | Lower to same                            |
| Leaf temperatures                                 | Higher   | Higher                                 | Higher                                   |
| Canopy area                                       | Higher   | Same to higher                         | Probably higher                          |
| Root to shoot ratio                               | Lower  | Same                                   | Currently unclear                        |
| Xylem vessel/tracheid diameter                    | Lower to higher                                  | Same (diffuse-porous species)          | Currently unclear                        |
|   |  | Higher (ring-porous species)           |  |
|   |  | Same to higher (conifers)              |  |
| P50   | Lower to same                                    | Lower to same                          | Lower to same                            |

Trends are taken from literature cited in the text.

g<sub>s</sub>, stomatal conductance; P50, water potential at which half of the hydraulic conductivity of a tissue is lost; VPD, vapor pressure deficit.

both elevated  $CO_2$  and growth temperatures, if we are to attempt to mitigate and adapt to the effects of climate change in forests.

#### Funding

This work was supported by grants to D.A.W. from NSERC, the US Department of Agriculture, Agriculture and Food Research Initiative (#2011-67003-30222), the US Department of Energy, Terrestrial Ecosystem Sciences (#11-DE-SC-0006967), and the US-Israeli Bi-national Science Foundation (#2010320).

#### References

- Adams HD, Guardiola-Claramonte M, Barron-Gafford GA, Villegas JC, Breshears DD, Zou CB, Troch PA, Huxman TE (2009) Temperaturesensitivity of drought-induced tree mortality portends increase regional die-off under global-change-type drought. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 106:7063–7066.
- Adams HD, Macalady AK, Breshears DD, Allen CD, Stephenson NL, Saleska SR, Huxman TE, McDowell NG (2010) Climate-induced tree mortality: earth system consequences. EOS Trans AGU 91:153, doi:10.1029/2010E0170003.
- Ainsworth EA, Rogers A (2007) The response of photosynthesis and stomatal conductance to rising [CO<sub>2</sub>]: mechanisms and environmental interactions. Plant Cell Environ 30:258–270.
- Allen CD, Macalady AK, Chenchouni H, et al. (2010) A global overview of drought and heat-induced tree mortality reveals emerging climate change risks for forests. For Ecol Manag 259:660–684.
- Anderegg WRL, Berry JA, Smith DD, Sperry JS, Anderegg LDL, Field CB (2012) The roles of hydraulic and carbon stress in a widespread climate-induced forest die-off. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 109:233–237.
- Atkinson CJ, Taylor JM (1996) Effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on stem growth, vessel area and hydraulic conductivity of oak and cherry seedlings. New Phytol 133:617–626.
- Bernacchi CJ, Kimball BA, Quarles DR, Long SP, Ort DR (2007) Decreases in stomatal conductance of soybean under open-air elevation of  $CO_2$  are closely coupled with decreases in ecosystem evapotranspiration. Plant Physiol 143:134–144.
- Bobich EG, Barron-Gifford GA, Rascher KG, Murthy R (2010) Effects of drought and changes in vapor pressure deficit on water relations of *Populus deltoides* growing in ambient and elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. Tree Physiol 30:866–875.
- Ceulemans R, Jach ME, van de Velde R, Lin JX, Stevens M (2002) Elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> alters wood production, wood quality and wood strength of Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) after three years of enrichment. Glob Change Biol 8:153–162.
- Christensen JH, Hewitson B, Busuioc A et al. (2007) Regional climate projections. In: Solomon S, Qin D, Manning M, Chen Z, Marquis M, Averyt KB, Tignor M, Miller HL (eds) Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, pp 847–940.
- Conroy JP, Küppers M, Küppers B, Virgona J, Barlow EWR (1988) The influence of CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment, phosphorus deficiency and water stress on the growth, conductance and water use of *Pinus radiata* D. Don. Plant Cell Environ 11:91–98.
- Domec J-C, Schäfer K, Oren R, Kim H-S, McCarthy HR (2010) Variable conductivity and embolism in roots and branches of four contrasting tree species and their impacts on whole-plant hydraulic performance

under future atmospheric  $\mathrm{CO}_{\mathrm{2}}$  concentration. Tree Physiol 30: 1001–1015.

- Drake BG, Gonzalez Meler MA, Long SP (1997) More efficient plants: a consequence of rising atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>? Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol 48:609–639.
- Duan H, Amthor JS, Duursma RA, O'Grady AP, Choat B, Tissue DT (2013) Carbon dynamics of eucalypt seedlings exposed to progressive drought in elevated  $[CO_2]$  and elevated temperature. Tree Physiol 33: 779–792.
- Gerhart LM, Harris JM, Nippert JB, Sandquist DR, Ward JK (2012) Glacial trees from the La Brea tar pits show physiological constraints of low CO<sub>2</sub>. New Phytol 194:63–69.
- Kaakinen S, Kostiainen K, Ek F, Sarnpää P, Kubiske ME, Sober J, Karosky DF, Vapaavuori E (2004) Stem wood properties of *Populus tremuloides*, *Betula papyrifera* and *Acer saccharum* saplings after 3 years of treatments to elevated carbon dioxide and ozone. Glob Change Biol 10:1513–1525.
- Lewis JD, Smith RA, Ghannoum O, Iogan BA, Phillips NG, Tissue DT (2013) Industrial-age changes in atmospheric [CO<sub>2</sub>] and temperature differentially alter responses of faster- and slower-growing *Eucalyptus* seedlings to short-term drought. Tree Physiol 33:475–488.
- Maherali H, DeLucia EH (2000*a*) Xylem conductivity and vulnerability to cavitation of ponderosa pine growing in contrasting climates. Tree Physiol 20:859–867.
- Maherali H, DeLucia EH (2000*b*) Interactive effects of elevated  $CO_2$  and temperature on water transport in ponderosa pine. Am J Bot 87:243–249.
- McDowell N, Pockman WT, Allen CD, et al. (2008) Mechanisms of plant survival and mortality during drought: why do some plants survive while others succumb to drought? New Phytol 178:719–739.
- McDowell NG, Beerling DJ, Breshears DD, Fisher RA, Raffa KF, Stitt M (2011) The interdependence of mechanisms underlying climatedriven vegetation mortality. Trends Ecol Evol 26:523–532.
- Medeiros JS, Ward JK (2013) Increasing atmospheric [CO<sub>2</sub>] from glacial to future concentrations affects drought tolerance via impacts on leaves, xylem and their integrated function. New Phytol 199:738–748.
- Oishi AC, Oren R, Novick KA, Pamroth S, Katul GG (2010) Interannual invariability of forest evapotranspiration and its consequence to water flow downstream. Ecosystems 13:421–436.
- Phillips NG, Attard RD, Ghannoum O, Lewis JD, Logan BA, Tissue DT (2011) Impact of variable [CO<sub>2</sub>] and temperature on water transport structure-function relationships in *Eucalyptus*. Tree Physiol 31: 945–952.
- Poorter H, Niklas KJ, Reich PB, Oleksyn J, Poot P, Mommer L (2012) Biomass allocation to leaves, stems and roots: meta-analyses of interspecific variation and environmental control. New Phytol 193: 30–50.
- Sala A, Woodruff DR, Meinzer FC (2012) Carbon dynamics in trees; feast or famine? Tree Physiol 32:764–775.
- Saxe H, Ellsworth DS, Heath J (1998) Tree and forest functioning in an enriched CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. New Phytol 139:395–436.
- Secchi F, Zwieniecki MA (2011) Sensing embolism in xylem vessels: the role of sucrose as a trigger for refilling. Plant Cell Environ 34:514–524.
- Thomas DS, Montagu KD, Conroy JP (2004) Changes in wood density of *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* due to temperature – the physiological link between water viscosity and wood anatomy. For Ecol Manag 193:157–165.
- Warren JM, Potzelsberger E, Wullschleger SD, Thornton PE, Hasenauer H, Norby RJ (2011*a*) Ecohydrological impact of reduced stomatal conductance in forests exposed to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. Ecohydrology 4:196–210.
- Warren JM, Norby RJ, Wullschleger SD (2011*b*) Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> enhances leaf senescence during extreme drought in a temperate forest. Tree Physiol 31:117–130.

- Watanabe Y, Tobita H, Kitao M, Maruyama Y (2008) Effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and nitrogen on wood structure related to water transport in seedlings of two deciduous broad-leaved tree species. Trees 22:403–411.
- Way DA, Oren R (2010) Differential responses to increased growth temperatures between trees from different functional groups and biomes: a review and synthesis of data. Tree Physiol 30: 669–688.
- Way DA, Yamori W (2013) Thermal acclimation of photosynthesis: on the importance of adjusting our definitions and accounting for thermal acclimation of respiration. Photosynth Res (in press) doi:10.1007/ s11120-013-9873-7.
- Way DA, Domec J-C, Jackson RB (2013) Elevated growth temperatures alter hydraulic characteristics in trembling aspen (*Populus tremuloides*)

seedlings: implications for tree drought tolerance. Plant Cell Environ 36:103-115.

- Wertin TM, McGuire MA, Teskey RO (2010) The influence of elevated temperature, elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and water stress on net photosynthesis of loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.) at northern, central and southern sites in its native range. Glob Change Biol 16:2089–2103.
- Wertin TM, McGuire MA, Teskey RO (2012) Effects of predicted future and current atmospheric temperature and [CO<sub>2</sub>] and high and low soil moisture on gas exchange and growth of *Pinus taeda* seedlings at cool and warm sites in the species range. Tree Physiol 32:847–858.
- Zeppel MJB, Lewis JD, Chaszar B, Smith RA, Medlyn BE, Huxman TE, Tissue DT (2012) Nocturnal stomatal conductance responses to rising [CO<sub>2</sub>], temperature and drought. New Phytol 193:929–938.